

Declassified in Part -	Sanitized Cop	y Appro	oved for Release 2012/05/03 : CIA-RDP10-00105R0004036	10001-2
				50X1-HUN
	COUNTRY	: ប	JSSR	
	SUBJECT	a	ILITARY THOUGHT: "Some Questions in the Prepara and Conduct of Initial Offensive Operations", by Colonel-General A. Babadzhanyan	tion 50X1-HUN
Declassified in Part -	Sanitized Cop	y Appro	oved for Release 2012/05/03 : CIA-RDP10-00105R0004036	10001-2

50X1-HUM	

Some Questions in the Preparation and Conduct of Initial

Offensive Operations

Ъy

Colonel-General A. Babadzhanyan

The constant quantitative and qualitative growth of missile weapons and the increase in the quantity of nuclear warheads allotted for the execution of an operation are causing continuous changes in the methods of combat operations of ground troops. These changes are now occurring so rapidly that, at times, that which only several months ago was new and progressive becomes obsolescent and ceases to correspond to the requirements of the day. Specifically, the methods of execution of the offensive operations of the initial period of a nuclear/missile war have undergone such a rapid development. The purpose of this article, which is on the order of a response to a number of articles published in previous issues of the Special Collection, is to examine some of the questions in the preparation and conduct of initial offensive operations.

As is well known, a nuclear/missile war will be of an exceptionally decisive nature. The availability of modern, powerful combat weapons permits even an enemy who is being defeated and is close to destruction to deliver telling thermonuclear strikes against the deep rear area and groupings of the armed forces holding the upper hand. In order to fully deprive the enemy of any opportunity to use nuclear weapons, it is not enough to deliver strategic massed nuclear strikes against his territory. No nuclear strikes can guarantee the complete destruction of all the enemy's weapons of nuclear attack or eliminate his capability to deliver strikes.

The fulfilment of this mission can be guaranteed only by the swiftest capture and occupation of enemy territory. By this very means can our country be made completely secure from strikes by the enemy's ground nuclear weapons and the enemy deprived of bases for his submarine and surface missile-carrying fleet which can still operate on the ocean for a certain period of time after the land forces are destroyed.

50X1-HUM

-2-

For the quickest capture of enemy territory (of course, that territory the setzure of which will not require the organization of landing operations across the coesn.), it is necessary to carry out decisive strategic offensive throughout the entire depth of the theaters. In this regard, under no conditions of a situation can one front be slow in shifting to the offensive, or alternate the latter with defense in all or the greater part of its zone of operations, all fronts, without exception, must shift to the offensive on them are shift to the defensive of enemy territory is possible and necessary. A shift to the defensive on such axes is not permissible. The troop of the front must shift to the offensive regardless of their conditions are strained in the point of view regarding the nature of the combat operations or ground troops in the case when the enemy pre-supts us in operation previously, we considered that, under these conditions, a front must hecessary to consider that, under these conditions, a front with all accessary to consider that, which these conditions, a front with all probably, will assume the nature of a meeting engagement. The inext question is of great practical interest. What will be the duration and the depth of the first strategic offensive operation of the strategic offensive operation of the strategic forces of the aggressor countries located there and reaching the seasonsts of the Atlantic Deess and the Mediterranean Section of the strategic forces of the aggressor countries located there and reaching the seasonsts of the Atlantic Deess and the Mediterranean Section to 800 km (Southwestern Theater of Military Operations—TVD) and from 1200 to 2000 km (Western TVD). According to modern views, the average speed of an offensive can reach 100 km per day. It is also considered that, in a system of strategic offensive, the offensive operations in the theaters of depth within 10 to 20 24-hour periods. If adjustments			50X1-HU i
landing operations across the ocean, it is necessary to carry out a decisive strategic offensive throughout the entire depth of the thesters. In this regard, under no conditions of a situation can one front be slow in shifting to the offensive, or alternate the latter with defense in all or the greater part of its zone of operations, without exception, must shift to the offensive on the axes where the science of enery territory is possible and necessary. A shift to the defensive on such axes is not permissible. The troop of the front must shift to the offensive regardless of their conditions are remarked as a single condition of the front must shift to the offensive regardless of their condition of the front must be such axes in not permissible. The troop of the front must be such axes when the enemy pre-empts us in operations of ground troops in the case when the enemy pre-empts us in operations of ground troops in the case when the enemy pre-empts us in operations of ground troops in the case when the enemy pre-empts us in operations of ground troops in the case when the enemy pre-empts us in operations of ground troops in the case when the snew pre-empts us in operations a front shift to the defensive in order to repulse an enemy attack. Now, it necessary to consider that, even in this situation, a front with all available forces will immediately shift to the offensive, which, most probably, will assume the nature of a meeting engagement. The acxt question is of great practical interest. What will be the duration and the depth of the first strategic offensive operation directed at staining the immediate strategic goals of awr? By looking at a map, it can be seen that the goals of a strategic offensive on the European continent can be defined as the destruction of the armed forces of the aggressor countries located there and forces of the aggressor countries located there and forces of the aggressor countries located there and force of the aggressor of Military Operations—TVD) and from look by per day. It i			
In connection with this, in our opinion there must be a change in the point of view regarding the nature of the combat operations of ground troops in the case when the enemy pre-empts us in operations Previously, we considered that, under these conditions, a front must shift to the defensive in order to repulse an enemy attack. How, it necessary to consider that, even in this situation, a front with all available forces will immediately shift to the offensive, which, most probably, will assume the nature of a meeting engagement. The lext question is of great practical interest. What will be the duration and the depth of the first strategic offensive operation directed at attaining the immediate strategic goals of a war? By looking at a map, it can be seen that the goals of a strategic offensive on the European continent can be defined as the destruction of the armed forces of the aggressor countries located there and reaching the seaccasts of the Atlantic Ocean and the Mediterranean Se In terms of depth, this commists on various strategic axes of from 600 to 800 km (Southwestern Theater of Military Operations—TVD) and from 1200 to 2000 km (Western TVD). According to modern views, the average speed of an offensive can reach 100 km per day. It is also considered that, in a system of strategic offensive, the offensive operations of formations must follow one after the other without operational pauses. Consequently, the tasks of a strategic offensive in the theaters being emmined can be fulfilled within 10 to 20 24-hour periods. If adjustments		decisive strategic offensive throughout the enti- theaters. In this regard, under no conditions one front be slow in shifting to the offensive, latter with defense in all or the greater part of the emphasize that, immediately at the beginning of all fronts, without exception, must shift to the axes where the seizure of enemy territory is post of the front must shift to the axes is not per	the organization of essary to carry out a ire depth of the of a situation can or alternate the of its zone of operations, coffensive on the sible and necessary
The Lext question is of great practical interest. What will be the duration and the depth of the first strategic offensive operation directed at attaining the immediate strategic goals of a war? By looking at a map, it can be seen that the goals of a strategic offensive on the European continent can be defined as the destruction of the armed forces of the aggressor countries located there and reaching the seaccasts of the Atlantic Ocean and the Mediterranean Se foot to 800 km (Bouthwestern Theater of Military Operations—TVD) and from 1200 to 2000 km (Western TVD). According to modern views, the average speed of an offensive can reach 100 km per day. It is also considered that, in a system of strategic offensive, the offensive operations of formations must follow one after the other without operational pauses. Consequently, the tasks of a strategic offensive in the theaters being emmined can be fulfilled within 10 to 20 24-hour periods. If adjustments		In connection with this, in our opinion the in the point of view regarding the nature of the of ground troops in the case when the enemy pre-previously, we considered that, under these conditions to the defensive in order to repulse an enemy pre-previously to consider that, even in this situation available forces will describe the consideration.	re must be a change combat operations mapts us in operations litions, a front must may attack. Now, it is on, a front with all
By looking at a map, it can be seen that the goals of a strategic offensive on the European continent can be defined as the destruction of the armed forces of the aggressor countries located there and reaching the seaccasts of the Atlantic Ocean and the Mediterranean Selin terms of depth, this consists on various strategic axes of from 600 to 800 km (Southwestern Theater of Military Operations—TVD) and from 1200 to 2000 km (Western TVD). According to modern views, the average speed of an offensive can reach 100 km per day. It is also considered that, in a system of strategic offensive, the offensive operations of formations must follow one after the other without operational pauses. Consequently, the tasks of a strategic offensive in the theaters being emmined can be fulfilled within 10 to 20 24-hour periods. If adjustments		The Lext question is of great practical inte	rest. What will he
According to modern views, the average speed of an offensive can reach 100 km per day. It is also considered that, in a system of strategic offensive, the offensive operations of formations must follow one after the other without operational pauses. Consequently, the tasks of a strategic offensive in the theaters being examined can be fulfilled within 10 to 20 24-hour periods. If adjustments	• <	By looking at a map, it can be seen that the offensive on the European continent can be defined of the armed forces of the aggressor countries looking the seaccasts of the Atlantic Ocean and the terms of depth, this consists on various strates 600 to 800 km (Southwestern Market Consists on various strates)	goals of a strategic d as the destruction cated there and the Mediterranean Sec
	\	According to modern views, the average speed can reach 100 km per day. It is also considered to of strategic offensive, the offensive operations of follow one after the other without operational page the tasks of a strategic offensive operational page.	of an offensive hat, in a system formations must
-3-			50X1-HUI

are made in their time pariods to account for mountainous conditions, for delays in forcing wide water barriers (for example, straits), and for obstacle zones of radioactive contamination, then it will take more than 20 24-hour periods. It is possible that these time periods will even comprise the duration of the initial period of war.

How many subsequent front offensive operations will it be necessary to carry out on the two above-indicated basic theaters in the initial period of a war?

According to existing opinions, the depth of an offensive operation of a front can be from 500 to 6001 up to 800 to 1000 km². We consider that the second opinion corresponds to a greater degree to the conditions of the initial period of a nuclear/missile war.

The depth of a front offensive operation is determined by the range of operations of the weapons of destruction, by the maneuver capabilities of the troops, by the capability for uninterrupted supply of material, and by the depth of the enemy's operational formation. In the past war, as a practical matter, the range of the front bomber aircraft was about 300 km; the rear services could, uninterruptedly, support the advancing troops to a distance of not more than 250 to 300 km from the area where they were initially based; the depth of the operational formation of the enemy's group of armies was 200 to 250 km. All this determined the depth of a front offensive operation as 250 to 300 km.

The offensive operations of the concluding stage of World War II occupied a special place in their scope, when a significant quantity of tank and mechanized large units and formations, which sharply increased the mobility of troops, appeared in the composition of our ground troops. For example, the depth of the Vistula-Oder offensive operation was more than 500 km, and the depth of the Manchurian offensive operation was 600 to 800 km. The scope of these operations, achieved in 1945 when the troops did not have those weapons of

50X1-HUM

^{1.} Special Collection of Articles of the Journal "Military Thought", First Issue, 1961.

^{2. &}quot;Modern War", published by the Military Academy of the General Staff, 1960, page 194.

destruction or those maneuvering capabilities which modern ground troops have, shows that the proposed depth of a modern front offensive operation of 1000 km is not fantastic.

Even now the weapons of a front and the means of reinforcing a front can ensure the destruction of the enemy to a depth of up to 500 km. The question of supplying the front with missiles possessing a great range of fire is in the stage of practical resolution. In addition, missile troops of strategic designation will undoubtedly be used in the front zone during the initial period of a war.

In comparison with the past war, the depth of the enemy operational formation has, at present, also increased. Certain elements of the enemy's operational missile rear services are located at a distance of up to 1000 km from the front line.

The only thing that still causes one to doubt the reality of assigning a mission of such depth to a front is the limited capabilities of the operational rear services. The fact is that the capabilities of the rear services have not undergone any substantial changes during the past several years and, to some dagree, have even decreased, if one considers the probable destruction of railroads and the mass destruction of motor vehicle roads. Decisive measures are necessary to increase the capabilities of the rear services for delivering material, in the first place, providing the rear services with units and large units of aircraft, helicopters, and motor vehicles with large load capacities, and the extensive introduction of pipeline transport. Only in this case can the rear services ensure the execution of deep and swift offensive operations.

Thus, it will be necessary to carry out 1 or 2 successive front offensive operations in order to attain the immediate strategic goals in Europe: one operation on the Southwestern TVD and two on the Western TVD.

At the present time, various opinions exist as to how the strategic offensive will be executed organizationally.

The authors of the book Modern War, believe that in the system of operations in ground theaters the first position will be given to front operations, not to the operations of groups of fronts as it was in the past war.

50X1-HUM

Declassified in Pa	rt - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/05/03 : CIA-RDP1	0-00105R000403610001-2
		50X1-HUM
_		
	In the article "The Question of the Theory of a Group of Fronts", 1 Colonel S. Kozlov const	dere it necessary to
	create high commands in all TVD's and to subord front formations but also the other types of an	limate to them not only
	in a given TVD (missile troops, the Navy, long-troops of the PVO of the Country).	range aviation, and
	A compromise is expressed in the point of Colonel-General N. Pevlovskiy2 that offensive of initial period of a war can be executed by from	merations in the
	Let us examine this question. During the beginning with the Soviet Army counteroffensive (December 1941 to April 1942), all the main off	Bear Moscoer
	were carried out, as a rule, not by severate fr	onts but by the
	united efforts of several fronts. Usually, the operation on a strategic axis were achieved by	the efforts of 2 or 2
	fronts. The conditions of the past war require encreous density of weapons and a superiority of	f no less than 2 or 2
_	times over the enemy were needed for a breakthree efforts of several fronts were usually concentrations.	entrated on such a
	strategic or operational axis, the geographic coprovided the capability for close operational cothe fronts.	onditions of which cordination among
	In the conduct of a nuclear/missile war, a energous masses of personnel and equipment is a out an offensive. Even now the density of troop while the zones of offensive of formations have all of this indicates that under modern conditions from are sufficient for those axes where in necessary to concentrate the efforts of several	ps has sharply decreased, incressed 1.5 to 2 times ms the efforts of
	Consequently, the troops of one front will rule, on each of the strategic or important oper our view that under these conditions the combini into a group is not advisable, if only because of	ational axes. It is
	1. Collection of Articles of the Journal "Milit	ary Thought" No. 2/52/,
	2. Special Collection of Articles of the Journa First Issue, 1961.	l "Military Thought"
		50X1-HUM
	-6-	> .
; •		

Declassified ir	Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/05/03 : CIA-RDP10-00105R000403610001-2
	50X1-HUM
	between fronts operating on independent strategic or important operational axes is not always possible, or is almost impossible. The General Headquarters (Stavka) will organize strategic coordination between the fronts, or rather, implement strategic coordination of the efforts of strategic nuclear/missile weapons with the operations of the fronts. On the basis of the above, we conclude that in a nuclear/missile war the main role in attaining the goals of armed combat in theaters
	will belong not to the operations of a group of fronts, but to the system of simultaneous and successive front operations. This does not preclude, of course, the conduct of operations by a group of fronts at individual stages of a strategic offensive operation on axes where operational coordination of several fronts is feasible. In our view, the limited number of fronts in a nuclear/missile war also makes the formation of TVD commands insivisable. It is known that, at the beginning of World War II, the number of fronts was limited (five fronts) and corresponded exactly to the number of
	strategic axes which existed at the time (Northern, Morthwestern, Western, Southwestern, and Southern). Under these conditions the fronts fulfilled not only operational, but also strategic missions. The commands of three axes were created in July 1941, the Morthwestern, the Western, and the Southwestern; they were called upon to carry out the operational-strategic direction of the fronts (which generally numbered from 5 to 11 at various periods). The complexity and the intensity of the situation at the fronts of war frequently forced the General Headquarters of the Supreme High Command to directly control the fronts, bypassing the commands of the axes, thereby making them useless. As is known, after existing
	for about one year altogether, these commands were abolished. In conducting a nuclear/missile war the number of fronts on the indicated axes will be less than in the past war. The conditions for military operations will become still more complex. The maneuverability of troops and the mobility of the front line will increase by several times. All this provides a basis for considering the creation of commands of axes or of TVD's in a future war inadvisable.
	-7-

In our opinion, the formation of TVD commands is possible only in remote theaters where the control of the armed forces will be difficult for the General Headquarters, for example, in the Far East (as it was in the past war) or on other continents when our troops arrive there.

However, granting the possibility of creating strategic commands in remote TVD, it is not possible to agree with the proposal that the mayal forces operating in the waters adjoining the theater should be subordinated to these commands. The mission of supporting ground forces is secondary for the naval forces, in comparison with the missions of blocksding energy countries and of disrupting energy ocean and sea intercontinental transport, which missions are frequently carried out in remote ocean areas or even on other oceans. For the fulfilment of these missions, a broad strategic maneuver by submarine forces is required. The subordination of all naval forces to the TVD command could thus fetter and limit the Eavy's capability of fulfilling its main missions. Of course, the part of the neval forces which will be operating in support of the troops of a TVD (the destruction of enemy strike missile-carrying and aircraftcarrier naval forces, the disruption of enemy amphibious landing operations, the participation in amphibious landing operations of TVD troops, etc.) must be subordinated to the TVD command.

The thought expressed by some authors of articles concerning the creation of operational-strategic commands in our theaters of military operations was undoubtedly influenced to some degree by the presence of such control elements on the territories of the countries of the imperialist coalition. However, such an analogy is not justified here. The fact of the matter is that the American Joint Staff in essence controls the armies of the countries which comprise the imperialist coalition. But the Americans are not in a position to effect centralized control of all the armed forces that make up the coalition and which are dispersed on almost all the continents of the world. Therefore, they formed intermediate control alements, commands of the TVD.

Under our conditions, when theaters of military operations are located on two continents (Europe and Asia) and are connected by land borders with well-established means of communications and supply, it will hardly be necessary to form such an intermediate command as a TVD command.

50X1-HUM

8

Declassified in Part - Sar	nitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/05/03 : Cl	A-RDP10-00105R000403610001-2
Declassified in Part - Sai	Several words on the initial offens These operations can begin under various The shifting of fronts to an offensive of period. It will take place if a war sta intensification of tension in the relati countries of the imperialist coalition a and when it becomes clear that the polit camps will inevitably bring on a militar period can also take place when a world of the principal countries in the course areas of the world. War can also be started by a surpri will be no threatening period. The most a surprise attack can be the delivery by mass thermonuclear strike against the so guise of carrying out large training exe A surprise attack is the most advan war. It may be assumed that war will be	sive operations of fronts. conditions of a situation. can be preceded by a threatening orts after a significant cons between the principal and those of the Socialist Camp, itself relations of the two by conflict. The threatening war starts through involvement of a local war in one of the see energy strike, when there characteristic version of the probable aggressor of a cialist countries under the reises.
	war. It may be assumed that war will be attack, if the imperialists decide on the practical activity of our armed forces a combat training, it is necessary to embat the preparation of troops for the entry preceded by a threatening period. If the to begin military operations when there then they will always be able to do so with period. An important situational condition, their initial offensive operations, is the a front in relation to the enemy before it	unleashed by a surprise is. Therefore, in the ad in their operational and rk decisively on a course for into a war which is not a armed forces are ready is no threatening period, hen there is a threatening under thich fronts can begin a location of the troops of
	operations. A front (group of forces) wi military operations, is located directly the countries of the imperialist coalitic into contact with the ground enemy immedi- located at a distance from this border at operations can shift to the offensive only of contact between the aggressor troops ar friendly to us.	on the border beyond which on the border beyond which on are located, will come ately. However, a front the start of military of the start of the start of the line
		50X1-HUM
	-9-	

Declassified in Part	- Saniti	zed Copy Approved	for Release 2012/0	5/03 : CIA-RDP10	-00105R000403610001-2 _M
	off	ANNA A TRIMENTO CON	the troops of a f	1447	4 11 .
	fru dec the tro	strate an enemy a	ttack, will be our party and government out will designation. It	A counterstrike stried out on terment leaders l be played by	e, or a strike to the besis of the s of the country; the missile
	Com	There is a wide pens must also paperise enemy attacked and. In our opinition to participalize for the follows.	k, which are mean nion, the front of the in a counter	ounterstrike to sures of the Su	preme High
		the nuclear/mits, which are loc enemy prior to the use of the compar	JC BLAIL OF BY 177	ace from the box	rders adjacent to
	cert	ations, because of	considerable time up to several day to besically. for	to participate (up to severa)	hours, and, under
	great lines the e amoun	tly hampered because and the destructions. Therefore at of the nuclear	, special charge use of the mass tion of certain of it is not advisorable weapons y should be saved	rrensive operates, and missile destruction of depots which has bable to expend	ico, the planned fuel will be communication
	In the name of the	The extensive use such to solving the se past war, the consist of creat superiority of f rikes.	combat compositions a twofold or	combat composi n of a front w	ition of a front. as determined by
					50X1-HUM
			-10-		
				·	
					6 ·

					50X1-HUM
	Under modern c	onditions.	the criter	ion for determini	no the
oppos vith speak now p	sition of a fr ing enemy grou motorized rifl ing, one can so lay the role of	ont is its oping with me, tank, and any that coming the	capability uclear week dairbonne bined-arms by means	to inflict destr pons and to compl large units. Fi and tank large	uction on an ete its rout guratively units will
route	d by nuclear water strikes, the	e of the rem espons. Und correlation	mining endit: on of force	my troops after lons when, as the	they are result of
Operat	a TH ISAOL OL A	the side that t can be car	ried out :	ruted them, an of	fanatwa
depend	The combat comp lon the method	ensition of lof unleash	a front in	sa initial open	tion will
units front units accord	in constant re will be reinfo in constant re ance with the	compat opera adiness. D wroed by ful adiness arr	tions with buring the ly mobiliz iving from	attack, the from a limited number course of an oper ed large units an the zone of inte c deployment of i	of large ation, the d by large
troops 1	f, however, mi	litary opera	ations dev	elon after a time	staning naulad
large cases,	units in const it is necessa ne of interior	operation of ant readines of the consideration of t	can start ss and tho der that strated or	with a larger must fully mobilized the approach of the delayed by the second state of	ver of troops: . In all roops from
or air	ect strikes ag muication rou	ainst these	large unit	is and also by th	e destruction
under in (group as is in operation their second in the continuous	modern condition of forces), the secessary for a sion. In additional supply of assumed arms and tar field of battle	ons is imposite composite composite composite composite continuous the continuous taken and the continuous taken t	sich the cossible. The cost of the objustion of compensate to the object of the compensate of the object of the ob	e skeletom of the moduct of combat merefore, in a bo missile troops m ectives of the f of the missile tra- for the shortes was are put out on al from the zone	operations rder district ust be such irst cops and of of
is disr	rupted or delay	red.			a moeting
					50X1-HUM
		-1	1-		
					,
					~

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/05/03: CIA-RDP10-00105R000403610001-2

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/05/03 : CIA-RDP10-00105R000403610001-2

Let us dwell briefly on the methods of operations of the troops of a front in initial offensive operations. The following factors will exert an influence on the methods of conducting initial offensive operations: the Hinted number of front troops; disorganization of the enemy's governmental control and the control of his armed forces, and, consequently, the isolation of the enemy's troops and the putting out of action of entire elements of his operational formation; the enemy's lack of prepared and occupied lines of defense; and the existence of large areas of radioactive contamination. The shove-listed factors create favorable conditions for an offensive by saveral strike groupings on axes. An offensive on accordance of his attention and efforts. At the same time, an offensive on axes is much more complicated than an offensive which is carried out with close lateral contact between units, large units. and even smales. Buring an offensive on axes, each grouping will be in a difficult situation and will have to operate independently with its flanks, and even its rear area, exposed. In view of this, the significance of preparing our command caches for such operations because diesr. In the years of World War II, an offensive was conducted on a solid front in close combat formations, with a methodical breakthrough of enemy positions and defense zones. Actually, combat operations were conducted in the zone of effective fire of the infantry and artillery weepons, i.e., in a zone 10 to 15 hs on both sides from the line of contact, if one does not consider specualic aerial strikes against the depth. Under these conditions, the affect of fire against the enemy was exploited immediately. Close coordination in timing and location was executed between the fire weepons, the infantry, and tanks. Under modern conditions, the sharply increased capabilities of fire weepons have led them to a contradiction with the capabilities of the infantry on tanks. The infantry and tanks have become incepable of immediately exploiting t	50X1-H
The following factors will exert an influence on the methods of conducting initial offensive operations: the limited number of front troops; disorganization of the enemy is governmental control and the control of his armed forces, and, consequently, the isolation of the enemy's troops and the putting out of action of entire elements of his operations! formation; the enemy's lack of prepared and occupied lines of defense; and the existence of large areas of radioactive contamination. The shove-listed factors create favorable conditions for an offensive by several strike groupings on axes. An offensive on separate axes ensures the splintering of the enemy front and a dispersal of his attention and efforts. At the same time, an offensive on axes is much more complicated than an offensive which is carried out with close lateral contact between units, large units. and even armées. During an offensive on axes, each grouping will be in a difficult situation and will have to operate independently with its flanks, and even its rear area, exposed. In view of this, the significance of preparing our command caches for such operations becomes clear. In the years of World War II, an offensive was conducted on a solid front in close combat formations, with a methodical breakthrough of enemy positions and defense zones. Actually, combat operations were conducted in the zone of effective fire of the infantry and artillery weapons, i.e., in a zone 10 to 15 km on both sides from the line of contact, if one does not consider spowedic earlis strikes against the depth. Under these conditions, the effect of fire against the depth. Under these conditions, the effect of fire against the enemy was exploited immediately. Close coordination in timing and location was executed between the fire weapons, the infantry and tanks. Under modern conditions, the sharply increased capabilities of fire weapons have led them to a contradiction with the capabilities of the infantry end tanks. The infantry and tanks have become incapable of immediat	The second business on the methods of operations of the troops
conducting initial offensive operations: the limited number of front troops; disorganization of the enemy's governmental control and the control of his armed forces, and, consequently, the isolation of the enemy's troops and the putting out of action of entire elements of his operational formation; the enemy's lack of prepared and occupied lines of defense; and the existence of large areas of radioactive contamination. The shove-listed factors create favorable conditions for an offensive by several strike groupings on area. An offensive on separate axes ensures the splintering of the enemy front and a dispersal of his attention and efforts. At the same time, an offensive on axes is much more complicated than an offensive which is carried out with close lateral contact between units, large units. and even armies. During an offensive on axes, each grouping will be in a difficult situation and will have to operate independently with its flanks, and even its rear area, exposed. In view of this, the significance of preparing our command caches for such operations because clear. In the years of World War II, an offensive was conducted on a solid front in close combat formations, with a methodical breakthrough of enemy positions and defense zones. Actually, combat operations were conducted in the zone of effective fire of the infantry and artillery weapons, i.e., in a zone 10 to 15 hm on both sides from the line of contact, if one does not consider sporadic aerial strikes against the depth. Under these conditions, the effect of fire against the enemy was exploited immediately. Close coordination in timing and location was executed between the fire weapons, the infantry, and tanks. Under modern conditions, the sharply increased capabilities of fire weapons have led then to a contradiction with the capabilities of the infantry and tanks. The infantry and tanks have become incapable of immediately exploiting the effect of nuclear/missile strikes against the entire depth of a defending enemy. To ensure repid seizure of	of a front in initial offensive operations.
offensive by several strike groupings on axes. An offensive on separate axes ensures the splintering of the enemy front and a dispersal of his attention and efforts. At the same time, an offensive on axes is much more complicated than an offensive which is carried out with close lateral contact between units, large units. and even armies. During an offensive on axes, each grouping will be in a difficult situation and will have to operate independently with its flanks, and even its rear area, exposed. In view of this, the significance of preparing our command cadres for such operations becomes clear. In the years of World War II, an offensive was conducted on a solid front in close combat formations, with a methodical breakthrough of enemy positions and defense zones. Actually, combat operations were conducted in the zone of effective fire of the infantry and artillery weapons, i.e., in a zone 10 to 15 km on both sides from the line of contact, if one does not consider sporadic aerial strikes against the depth. Under these conditions, the effect of fire against the enemy was exploited immediately. Close coordination in timing and location was executed between the fire weapons, the infantry, and tanks. Under modern conditions, the sharply increased capabilities of fire weapons have led them to a contradiction with the capabilities of the infantry and tanks. The infantry and tanks have become incepable of immediately exploiting the effect of nuclear/missile strikes against the entire depth of a defending enemy. To ensure rapid seizure of the entire depth of the enemy defense by active	conducting initial offensive operations: the limited number of front troops; disorganization of the enemy's governmental control and the control of his armed forces, and, consequently, the isolation of the enemy's troops and the putting cut of action of entire elements of his operational formation; the enemy's lack of prepared and occupied lines of defense; and the existence of large areas of radioactive
solid front in close combat formations, with a methodical breakthrough of enemy positions and defense zones. Actually, combat operations were conducted in the zone of effective fire of the infantry and artillery weapons, i.e., in a zone 10 to 15 km on both sides from the line of contact, if one does not consider sporadic aerial strikes against the depth. Under these conditions, the effect of fire against the enemy was exploited immediately. Close coordination in timing and location was executed between the fire weapons, the infantry, and tanks. Under modern conditions, the sharply increased capabilities of fire weapons have led them to a contradiction with the capabilities of the infantry and tanks. The infantry and tanks have become incapable of immediately exploiting the effect of nuclear/missile strikes against the entire depth of a defending enemy. To ensure rapid seizure of the entire depth of the enemy defense by active	offensive by several strike groupings on axes. An offensive on separate axes ensures the splintering of the enemy front and a dispersal of his attention and efforts. At the same time, an offensive on axes is much more complicated than an offensive which is carried out with close lateral contact between units, large units. and even armies. During an offensive on axes, each grouping will be in a difficult situation and will have to operate independently with its flanks, and even its rear area, exposed. In view of this, the significance of preparing our command cadres for such operations
fire weapons have led them to a contradiction with the capabilities of the infantry and tanks. The infantry and tanks have become incapable of immediately exploiting the effect of nuclear/missile strikes against the entire depth of a defending enemy. To ensure rapid seizure of the entire depth of the enemy defense by active	solid front in close combat formations, with a methodical breakthrough of enemy positions and defense zones. Actually, combat operations were conducted in the zone of effective fire of the infantry and artillery weapons, i.e., in a zone 10 to 15 km on both sides from the line of contact, if one does not consider sporadic aerial strikes against the depth. Under these conditions, the effect of fire against the enemy was exploited immediately. Close coordination in timing and location was executed between the fire weepons, the
. -	fire weapons have led them to a contradiction with the capabilities of the infantry and tanks. The infantry and tanks have become incapable of immediately exploiting the effect of nuclear/missile atrikes against the entire depth of a defending enemy. To ensure
	50X1-H

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/05/03 : CIA-RDP10-00105R000403610001-2

combet operations and to achieve the objectives of the operation in the shortest period of time, it is necessary to increase sharply the rate of the offensive which, by the Directive of the Minister of Defense for Operational Training for 1961, was ordered to be increased to 100 km per 24-hour period. This is a very high rate. It is sufficient to state that the distance covered in a 24-hour period in the execution of a march consists of 180 to 250 km, i.e., only two times greater than the distance which must be covered by attacking troops in a 24-hour period.

In planning an operation, is it possible to guarantee that a rate of, say 100 km per day, will be sustained every day? Certainly not. There will be days in an operation when troops will be able to advance only negligibly, days when they will not move at all, and, possibly, days when they will even fall back. We are speaking of those days when front troops will be repulsing enemy counterstrikes, conducting meeting engagements with the enemy, forcing water barriers, and overcoming substantial zones of radiosctive contamination and mountain passes. Consequently, on the other days of the operation, the rate of advance must be such so as to compensate for the days of slow or of no rate of advance, i.e., it must approach the speed of executing a march.

In the offensive operations of the past war, the most decisive results were achieved by encircling the enemy operational groupings and subsequently annihilating and capturing them. However, at the end of the war, especially in the Vistula-Oder operation, the ineffectiveness of encirclement as a form of conducting an operation had already become obvious. Those enemy groupings around which a solid ring of encirclement was not closed turned into so-called "roaming pockets" and quickly ceased to exist. But those enemy groupings that were encircled by our troops (for example, the Poznan and the Schneidemuchl groupings) continued to fight while surrounded until the end of the war, diverting a substantial number of our troops to them.

We cannot agree with the statement that in a modern war encirclement and destruction of large enemy groupings can be employed along with the delivery of swift, deep strikes on separate axes.

1.	Special	Collection	of.	Articles	of	the	Journal	"Military	Thought",
	TIBEUE,								

50X1-HUM

-13-

Declassified in Pa	rt - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/05/0	03 : CIA-RDP10-00105R000403610001-2
		(7) 50X1-HUM
	Under the conditions of highly maneuvering will be inadvisable to encircle a large edispersed over a large area. The limited front will not permit the creation of innencirclement and the conduct of methodical contracting a ring of encirclement, break grouping, and destroying it piecemeal. So of the rate of the offensive and, possible it is much more advisable to use small for routes of retreat of an enveloped enemy a him with nuclear/missile weapons. In this front troops must move forward without deback at the encircled enemy grouping. In the most general terms, this is hoffensive operations of the initial periodoms of the problems we have examined requand critical analysis.	nemy grouping which is number of troops in a er and outer fronts of l combat for the purpose of ing up the encircled such operations threaten loss y, even its frustration. rees to intercept the main and subsequently destroy s, the main body of the lay and without glancing ow we visualize the initial d of a war. Undoubtedly,
	and critical analysis.	∀
	,	
	•	
	•	50X1-HUM
		*
	-14-	//
		₁ ,
•		

